

**Marquette University**  
**e-Publications@Marquette**

---

Political Science Faculty Research and Publications

Political Science, Department of

---

11-14-2018

# Despite Democrats' takeover of the House, don't expect Republicans to give up on undoing Obamacare

Simon F. Haeder  
*West Virginia University*

Philip B. Rocco  
*Marquette University*, [philip.rocco@marquette.edu](mailto:philip.rocco@marquette.edu)

---

Published version. *USApp: American Politics and Policy Blog*, (November 14, 2018). [Publisher Link](#).  
© 2018 LSE (London School of Economics) US Centre. Used with permission.



100%

100%



## Despite Democrats' takeover of the House, don't expect Republicans to give up on undoing Obamacare

 [blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog/2018/11/14/despite-democrats-takeover-of-the-house-dont-expect-republicans-to-give-up-on-undoing-obamacare/](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog/2018/11/14/despite-democrats-takeover-of-the-house-dont-expect-republicans-to-give-up-on-undoing-obamacare/)

November 14, 2018



*In the lead up to last week's midterm elections, polls consistently showed that healthcare was on the forefront of many Americans' minds. With the Democratic Party now in control of the US House of Representatives, Republican efforts to repeal President Obama's signature healthcare reform, Obamacare, are likely to be significantly curtailed. But that doesn't mean they will end completely, argue **Simon F. Haeder** and **Philip Rocco**. Drawing on evidence from their research on previous Republican actions to repeal Obamacare, they write that the influence of activists within their party means that the GOP are likely to continue their efforts to impede the full operation of the Affordable Care Act at the state level, through the courts, and via executive actions.*



The Affordable Care Act (ACA) was a big winner in last week's elections. Across the country, Democrats ran on protecting pre-existing conditions, defeating Republican governors like Wisconsin's Scott Walker, who had used their office to obstruct the implementation of healthcare reform, as well as the architects of congressional efforts to repeal the law like Rep. Tom MacArthur (R-NJ). Ballot measures to expand Medicaid passed in the deep red states of Idaho, Nebraska, and Utah.

Yet legislative losses for Republicans do not mean that the ACA is now safe from interference. As our research shows, there are good reasons to believe that Republicans will continue to pursue their long-held goal of undoing President Obama's signature accomplishment. While full-blown statutory repeal (and replace) now seems off the agenda with a Democratic takeover of the House, Republicans continue hold many tools at their disposal to continue their question to impede the ACA's full potential.

Our recent work shows that repeal efforts have been driven not by voters but by "intense policy demanders." These are mobilized activists within the Republican coalition that use their control of important electoral resources to shape the policy agenda. When it comes to the ACA, these extremists have defined the politics of repeal and replace in fundamental ways. There is little reason to suspect that they will relinquish this goal.

### What Republicans Have Done to Make the ACA Fail

While our most recent research is focused on hotbeds of Republican in Congress, Republican opposition has of course not been only come from the US Congress. Many Republican-controlled state legislatures and governors have done their fair share to oppose the implementation of the Affordable Care.

Dozens of lawsuits have been filed seeking to overturn parts or the entirety of the healthcare law. Many states have refused to establish insurance marketplaces or expand their Medicaid program, leaving millions of Americans uninsured and victims of a political struggle. Various states have passed unenforceable statutes and constitutional amendments seeking to nullify the ACA within their borders. Others have prohibited state employees from cooperating with the federal government in any implementation activities. Some states are seeking to establish a so-called health compact that would allow them, with Congressional approval, to circumvent ACA requirements. Most recently, states like Idaho and Iowa are seeking to establish alternative insurance markets to sell low quality insurance products prohibited under the ACA.

On top of Republican opposition in the states, the Trump Administration has taken a slew of action seeking to damage and undo much of the Affordable Care Act since taking office. Initially, the Trump Administration sought to raise the uncertainty in the minds of Americans, ACA beneficiaries, and insurance companies about the viability of the ACA by making a slew of false and misleading statements to destabilizing insurance markets across the country. Over time, the Trump Administration has supplemented these efforts through regulatory efforts seeking to reduce the impact of the ACA.

### Our Research on Republican Opposition in Congress

Our research focuses on the actions taken by Republicans in the US Congress in opposition to the Affordable Care Act, which has seen little scholarly attention so far.

We argue that much of the persistence of Republican resistance in Congress more than eight years after the passage of the ACA can be attributed to intense policy demanders. These ideological extremists like the Koch-funded Americans for Prosperity seek to use resources at their disposal like campaign contributions to support like-minded individuals in their quest for political office in return for loyalty commitments to their favored causes. And indeed, it was these intense policy demanders that defined the boundaries of the retrenchment agenda during the early implementation of the ACA and beyond.

*"Intense policy demanders are not likely to be deterred by the election results. Instead, they will continue to exert their sway in the Republican Party at the federal and state levels"*

Simon F. Haeder and Philip Rocco write that despite Democrats' takeover of the House, we should not expect Republicans to give up on undoing Obamacare.

[blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog)



**Credit: NOBama NoMas [CC BY-SA 2.0], via Wikimedia Commons**

The first part of our analysis looks at the introduction of bills seeking to overturn all or part of the ACA. Our findings show that contributions by businesses are the most important driver of bill introductions seeking to undo the ACA. This particularly holds for the introduction of bills seeking the outright repeal of many or all of the ACA provisions. Not surprisingly, the most conservative Members of the House were also the ones seeking to undo the ACA.

The second part of our analysis looked at the votes of Members of the House of Representatives on the first major repeal effort under the Trump Administration, the American Health Care Act (AHCA).

In its initial form, the AHCA proved decidedly too liberal for groups like the Heritage Foundation and the Koch-funded Americans for Prosperity, terming it "Obamacare Lite." Not surprisingly, in a whip count taking days before an expected vote, the group closest to AFP and Heritage, the Freedom Caucus, refused to support the bill pushed by Speaker Paul Ryan. Indeed, membership in the Freedom Caucus proved the overwhelming predictor of Republican opposition to the AHCA.

Seeking to salvage their repeal-and-replace efforts, Republicans were able to negotiate an agreement that sought to bring that vast majority of Congressional Republicans on board, the so-called MacArthur amendment. The amendment allowed states to opt out of almost all the requirements of the ACA. As a result, virtually all Republicans in the House of Representatives eventually voted for the bill.

That House conservatives ran the table on the AHCA should be instructive given the results of last week's elections. By and large, Democrats' wins last week wiped out moderates while the conservatives who pushed a more hardline version of 'repeal and replace' largely remain in office. While they may not be able to push their preferred legislation out of the House, it is doubtful that their positions on the ACA will change substantially.

## What Our Research Indicates for the Future of the ACA

Outright repeal seems off the table for the time being. However, intense policy demanders are not likely to be deterred by the election results. Instead, they will continue to exert their sway in the Republican Party at the federal and state levels.

We expect the Trump Administration to double down on its efforts to use regulatory policymaking to little the efficacy of the Affordable Care Act. With broad delegative powers inherent in the ACA, the Trump Administration has a large set of opportunities to shape the ACA. This includes everything from further relaxing oversight of provider networks in the insurance marketplaces to weakening the ACA's contraception coverage requirements. Based on recent action, it also seems likely that the Trump Administration will continue its efforts to expand coverage options outside the purview of the ACA.

Perhaps equally important, with an extended majority in the Senate, Republicans will move swiftly ahead with confirming solidly conservative judges. With many parts of the ACA still in litigation, and with a significant need for continuously issuing regulations, the courts continue to remain pivotal when it comes to the implementation of the health law. Moreover, moderates Lisa Murkowski and Susan Collins have now lost their pivotal status when it comes to judicial nominees, who can be confirmed with simple majorities.

Even with large Democratic gains in the states, a large number of states remain solidly in Republican hands. This bodes ill for the further expansion of Medicaid or the strengthening of insurance markets for example via state-based individual mandates or reinsurance programs.

Finally, the ongoing *Texas v. Azar* lawsuit remains a potential wild-card. Seeking to achieve what Republicans in Washington, DC have been unable to do, Republican attorneys-general, led by Texas, are aiming to wipe out the ACA through the judicial pathway. While this case is likely headed to the Supreme Court, its outcome appears completely open. Of course, should Republicans be successful, all the cards will be reshuffled.

*This blog post is based on the article "How Intense Policy Demanders Shape Post-Reform Politics: Evidence from the Affordable Care Act" in the Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law.*

*Please read our comments policy before commenting.*

*Note: This article gives the views of the author, and not the position of USAPP – American Politics and Policy, nor the London School of Economics.*

## About the authors

---



**Simon F. Haeder** – *West Virginia University*

Simon F. Haeder (@simonfhaeder) is an assistant professor in the department of political science in the John D. Rockefeller IV School of Policy & Politics at West Virginia University. Simon is also a Fellow in the Interdisciplinary Research Leaders Program, a national leadership development program supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to equip teams of researchers and community partners in applying research to solve real community problems.



**Philip Rocco** – *Marquette University*

Philip Rocco (@philiprocco) is an assistant professor in the Department of Political Science at Marquette University. He is the co-author of *Obamacare Wars: Federalism, State Politics, and the Affordable Care Act* (University Press of Kansas, 2018). His work has been featured in, among other venues, *Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law*, *Political Science Quarterly*, *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, *Journal of Aging and Social Policy*, and *Policy Studies Journal*.